



Additional Documents List
City Council Meeting
June 10, 2020
(Posted 6/15/2020 at 5:16 p.m.)

| Item No. | Agenda Item Description | Distributor | Document |
|----------|---|---------------------|-----------------------|
| PC-1 | Public Comment Submitted for: Closed Session Agenda Item A | City Clerk Division | E-mail Public Comment |
| PC-2 | Public Comment Submitted for: Agenda Item Nos. 3 (General Public Comment), 11, 14, 16, and 17 | City Clerk Division | E-mail Public Comment |

Public Comment 6/10/2020 City Council CLOSED
SESSION Meeting

AGENDA ITEM 'A'
LABOR NEGOTIATIONS

1. Jael Kasmira
2. Libby Rainey
3. Lilly Estenson
4. Ash Newton
5. Emily Rozema (added 6/15/2020)

[REDACTED]

From: jael kasmira [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:50 PM
To: City Council Public Comment
Subject: 6/10 closed session: labor negotiations

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of South Pasadena. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

South Pasadena needs to ensure that it is the progressive and inclusive city it claims to be. In order to do so, major police reforms need to be made. Officers should be given de-escalation training and less training regarding the use of lethal violence. Not all officers need to carry a gun - especially in SP - it is threatening and does more harm than good in our community. SPPD should act as community builders, instead of trying to catch citizens doing something wrong. Sure, if someone is being dangerous, actions need to be taken to ensure the safety of others. But, in many cases, punishment is not the best solution. Officers should be willing to have a reasonable and open conversation with citizens instead of only looking to punish them. We want to regard our PD as contributing to the SP community instead of creating unnecessary fear.

I think officers need to be better immersed in the community programs in South Pasadena so that they can learn about and become a welcome part of the community and so residents feel comfortable communicating with their law enforcement. This will increase safety and a sense of community. Additionally, within the PD, there needs to be individuals who have received education for ethnic studies, so that POC are better understood and treated, and for psychological studies, so officers understand how to handle situations without force and instead with communication.

SP should lead small cities across America in the rebuilding of law enforcement.

Jael Osborne

[REDACTED]

From: Libby Rainey [REDACTED] >
Sent: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:29 PM
To: City Council Public Comment
Subject: 6/10 closed session: labor negotiations

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of South Pasadena. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear City Council,

My name is Libby Rainey and I was born and raised in South Pasadena and live here now. In this pivotal moment of social upheaval and mass protest in defense of Black lives, South Pasadena has a historic opportunity to not just gesture at police reform but instead enact real, substantial change to make our community safer and to acknowledge the racist and inherently violent role police play in our society broadly and neighborhoods locally. The city of Minneapolis just made history pledging to dismantle its police force. I am calling on you, our city council to do the same. Together as a community, we can build a model of safety that prevents harm and focuses on life-affirming services like education, housing and mental health while divesting from oppressive policing, which is rooted in anti-Black violence and dates back to the slave trade. Abolition is not a pie-in-the-sky idea, but rather a practice and comprehensive ideology developed by Black women and pushed into the mainstream by community organizers. We should study it and take it seriously as a pathway to true accountability. If we take the lead of abolitionist organizers, we can be one of the first communities in the country to take this historic step. Another world is possible and that starts right here in our backyard. Please hold an open town hall where we can discuss this with all our neighbors at the table, and please listen to the Black and Brown youth of South Pasadena, who deserve better.

Best,
Libby

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: Lilly Estenson [REDACTED] >
Sent: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 3:08 PM
To: City Council Public Comment
Subject: Public Comment for 6/10 Closed Session: Labor Negotiations

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of South Pasadena. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

This is my public comment for the 6/10 closed session on labor negotiations which I understand is happening tomorrow, Wednesday June 10th:

I am a South Pasadena resident writing in support of South Pasadena Youth for Police Reform's requests for city council. I support their request to freeze SPPD's budget until meaningful changes are made toward creating a racially equitable and community-driven police department. This includes changes to training and recruiting, reforming internal SPPD policies, increasing transparency of SPPD practices, and changing city ordinances. I especially want to voice my support for increasing officers' training in de-escalation tactics and mental health, and having mental health practitioners lead wellness checks. Additionally, I believe a higher proportion of the city budget needs to go towards preventive social services and less towards policing. Strong social service programs should be prioritized because of their role in helping South Pasadena residents stay healthy and safe.

Lillian Estenson
[REDACTED]

--

Lilly Estenson, MSW
[REDACTED]

[LinkedIn](#)

[REDACTED]

From: a-s-h newton [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, June 10, 2020 5:09 AM
To: City Council Public Comment
Subject: 6/10 closed session: labor negotiations (public comment)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of South Pasadena. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

hello. i live down the street on mound avenue. a few years ago, i suffered a mental health crisis and was feeling suicidal, at which point my parents called the police and they came to our home. unfortunately, the actions that sppd took on that day compounded the problem. i'd already had a number of negative encounters with police by that point, so i had requested to interact with other first responders, who were also on site. instead, officers insisted that was not a possibility, then chased me through my house and tackled me on my niece's bed. one officer was later quoted in the local newspaper as looking out for my well being, hoping i get the help i need. i honestly feel like you didn't listen to me then, when i was willing to be cooperative, and you probably won't listen to me now, but nothing you did that day was helpful. thankfully things did not turn out for me the way they did for vanessa marquez. i can get over what happened and i hold no grudge, but vanessa deserved better. our neighbors deserve better. sending armed officers into situations where people are in a state of deep despair is the wrong way to address their suffering. things are broken in their current state. this is why i endorse the proposals put forward by south pasadena youth for police reform and believe the police budget should be frozen until these very necessary changes are implemented. thank you.

6/10/20
Closed Session
#5

From: Robin Craggs Keith [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, June 10, 2020 1:35 PM
To: City Council Public Comment; Diana Mahmud; Michael Cacciotti; Marina Khubesian; publiccomment@southpasadenaca.gov; Dr. Richard Schneider - Personal; Robert Joe
Subject: 6/10 closed session: labor negotiations

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of South Pasadena. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear South Pasadena Officials and Police Department,

I am a 54 year old White property owner and voter. **I support all of SPY4PR's requests.** Thank you for approving several already. Keep up the good work and keep changing policing for GOOD and for the important effort to stop anti-blackness in South Pasadena.

Thank you. ~ Robin Craggs

--

~Robin Craggs Keith
[REDACTED]

Public Comment 6/10/2020 City Council Meeting

GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT

1. Marqel Armani Lee
2. Delaine Shane
3. Susan Sulsky
4. Maureen
5. Jeremy Ding
6. Elizabeth Bagasao
7. Jan Marshall
8. Ron Rosen
9. Yvette Becerra
10. WISPPA (Betty Emirhanian, Bianca Richards, Ellen Wood, Dollie Chapman, Lisa Roa)
11. Brandon Yung and three other individuals
12. Scott Parker
13. Riley Collins
14. Tiffany Holmes
15. Linda Krausen
16. Beverly Biber

From: Marqel Armani Lee <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 2:49 PM
To: City Council Public Comment
Subject: Police Use of Force Project

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of South Pasadena. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Name: Marqel Armani Lee

General Public Comment: [I wish for this comment to be read during the meeting.]

Mayor,

Please commit to the Police Use of Force Project which includes 1) reviewing your police use of force policies, 2) engage our community by including a range of input, experiences, and stories in your review, 3) report the findings of your review with our community and seek feedback and 4) reform our community's police use of force policies.

Thank you,
Armani
[end]

I wish for this comment to be read during the meeting.

Supplementary links:

<https://www.obama.org/mayor-pledge/>

<http://useofforceproject.org/#project>

Thanks,
Armani

--

My LinkedIn: <https://www.linkedin.com/pub/marqel-armani-lee/a1/217/93b>

[REDACTED]

From: D. Shane <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, June 5, 2020 3:11 PM
To: Maria Ayala; City Council Public Comment
Cc: ezneimer
Subject: Public Comment: Agenda Item No. 3 (General Comment) for June 10th City Council Meeting: PLEASE READ OUT LOUD TO CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS

Importance: High

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of South Pasadena. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello Maria:

Please include my email with the administrative record for this Council Meeting and read it out loud to the City Council during Agenda Item No. 3.

Thank you,

Delaine

Dear City Council:

I personally know each of you. Two of you I have known since before we all retired from MWD. For God's sake and for the financial stability of our community, as recommend by the Josh Betta financial review report, **PLEASE** put an immediate **PAUSE** to the budget process through a Resolution of Continuing Appropriations, take heed of Mr. Betta's recommendations, and request an in depth audit to be conducted by the California State Auditor. It will be a difficult journey, but a vital one for the future of our community and our children. Your unswerving leadership has never been so critical as it must be now. Please consider the community over politics and naysayers.

Sincerely,

Delaine Shane
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: Susan Sulsky [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 7, 2020 3:56 PM
To: City Council Public Comment
Subject: Public Comment: Agenda Item No. 3 (General Comment) for June 10th City Council Meeting: PLEASE READ OUT LOUD TO CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of South Pasadena. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

From: Susan Sulsky
PLEASE READ OUT LOUD TO CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS

It is clear that the COVID-19 pandemic has and will create revenue shortfalls for the City of South Pasadena, as well as the State. I wish to express my support of adopting a Temporary Resolution of Continuing Appropriation, and request an in depth audit to be conducted by the California State Auditor. The budget should include full documentation of what are now single digit revenue shortfall projections that vary significantly with the recent Southern California Association of Governments' outlook for how the pandemic will affect local government revenues. SCAG's professional economists project substantially greater revenue shortfalls. It is in the best interest of the City and all of its residents to assure that the budget forecast is accurate and that expenses are curtailed to meet realistic projected revenue shortfalls.

Thank you.

Susan Sulsky
[REDACTED]

From: Maureen W <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:46 AM
To: City Council Public Comment
Subject: Comments for this week's meeting-Community Services

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of South Pasadena. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

General Public Comment

Dear City Council,

I wish to express my grave concern over what we understand to be a proposal by the City Manager and Finance Director to turn over our City's community services department, including parks and recreation, to an outside organization, apparently as part of an effort to cut costs. This potential loss of local control over the City's fields would be devastating, to the community, local sports leagues, and other organizations such as the scouts. AYSO and SPLL have invested hundreds of thousands of dollars in our City's park facilities and developed strong relationships with the City, so that our kids can participate and enjoy all the benefits of participating in organized sports. Turning this over to a group from outside the City and/or our local community, could jeopardize all of that. We are concerned that these contractors could be granted substantial, if not exclusive, control and authority over our parks and other facilities, depriving community-based organizations from access to these facilities. Additionally As we have experienced with others to whom certain city functions have been delegated, any short-term cost savings may be overshadowed by long-term increased costs and lack of control.

Further, Community Services should be able pay for itself. It should never be allowed to be minimized or farmed out to others, especially in a city like ours which is small and with many young families who rely so heavily on the services and facilities offered and managed by this department. Apparently, a few decades ago, there was a similar plan by City staff. The community fought back and was able to keep recreation within the City, where it became a vital department.

I am concerned about turning this important component of the City to an outside group or agency and urge the City Council to direct staff to drop this proposal. It would be an extreme loss to South Pasadena to lose such vital events such as concert in the park, movie in the park, egg hunt, etc. Further, to increase costs to SPLL, AYSO, scouts, and families wishing to use the gazebos would be such a detriment to the residents.

Please consider the many pleas you are receiving to keep Community Services here in South Pasadena.

Sincerely, Maureen Whitman

--

Mo Whitman

[REDACTED]

From: Jeremy D <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:18 AM
To: City Council Public Comment
Cc: Public Safety Commission
Subject: Council Direction - Public Safety Commission

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of South Pasadena. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Jeremy Ding, General Public Comment, Please Read Comment

Mayor Joe & Councilmembers,

On June 8, 2020, the Public Safety Commission (PSC) held a virtual meeting where the issue of police policy came up. I know the community is concerned about issues surrounding use of force and police policy and the PSC is asking for direction from council on what issues and/or scope of issues it would like the PSC to advise on. We have appointed a subcommittee consisting of Scot Lam and Ed Donnelly who can immediately start working upon receiving direction from council.

Thanks,

Jeremy Ding, Chair - Public Safety Commission

[REDACTED]

From: Elizabeth Anne Bagasao <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, June 8, 2020 4:28 PM
To: Maria Ayala
Subject: Public Comment - June 10, 2020
Attachments: 6-10 public.docx

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of South Pasadena. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Good afternoon, City Clerk Ayala,

I had requested to have previous public comment submission withdrawn following the cancelling of June 3 meeting

Attached please find a new public comment for Non Agenda Item June 10, 2020 open session. Please read aloud into public record.

Thank you!

Date: June 8, 2020

To: Maria Ayala

From: Anne Bagasao, [REDACTED]

RE: Non-Agenda Public Comment – Open Session Meeting June 10, 2020 TO BE READ ALOUD INTO PUBLIC RECORD

I ask that the members of this City Council to prove their love and dedication to the people of our city and do the following.

- 1) Restructure the police budget to provide quality police training in mental health crisis management, ethnic and cultural studies, and community policing techniques.
- 2) Add a full time experienced and qualified social worker to staff to address the needs of our homeless, seniors, working poor, foster youth and victims of domestic violence.
- 3) Increase funds to directly benefit those in need by adding homeless support services, upgrading our teen center and increasing our library programming. Do not outsource our community services!
- 4) Pass a temporary resolution of continuing appropriations for the city to redo the proposed budget until a complete city financial audit is complete.
- 5) Ensure the public's right to a transparent government. If any of you does not believe this is your role in our democracy, then perhaps you should have kept your day job.
- 6) Stop fear mongering voters into passing measures that disproportionately impact our city's poor, BIPOC, single parents, seniors and those on fixed incomes so that you can detract from your flim-flam budgets
- 7) And stop tolerating the disrespectful behavior of the two members who publicly chided residents for exercising their right to access public records and demeaned the residents of District 4.

I thank you and look forward to seeing improvement in the quality of your public service in the coming months.

[REDACTED]

From: Jan Marshall <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 1:48 PM
To: City Council Public Comment
Subject: Open Session Comment to be read aloud June 10, 2020

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of South Pasadena. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Please read aloud. Wordcount: 198

Council members,

When offering public comment, I like to start and end with the same word - transparency.

This week I finally saw some transparency. Sadly it didn't come out of the city government or from this council. It came from professionals, who took a deep dive into our city finances and emerged to write two bombshell reports, the first appearing in the South Pasadenan online.

I want to thank Josh Betta, South Pasadena's former Finance Director, and Sheila and Stephen Rossi, local residents with extensive financial expertise, for doing the heavy lifting. They did so with no agenda other than to ferret out the truth.

And the truth should alarm us all.

Our city finances are a house of cards. The city's 2020-21 budget cannot go forward without a long overdue INDEPENDENT audit.

I was disappointed, but not surprised to learn how the City Manager hollowed out departments, and replaced professionals with unqualified applicants hired to serve her own interests. I've brought this up numerous times at council meetings. Were you listening?

So, now that you know just how badly the city has been mismanaged, what are you going to do next? All eyes are on you.

[REDACTED]

From: Ron Rosen [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 2:03 PM
To: City Council Public Comment
Subject: Public Comment for June 10 City Council Meeting

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of South Pasadena. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Ron Rosen (South Pasadena)

Non-Agenda Item - General Public Comment

Please Read Aloud

On June 7, 2020, the City Council held a closed session meeting that was agendized as, "CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL— Anticipated Litigation, Pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.9(d)

(2)." I have no idea what the subject of that meeting was, but it appears that this description violates the Brown Act. A League of California Cities handbook on the Brown Act states that in the instance of

threatened or anticipated litigation the Council generally needs to identify the facts and circumstances giving rise to the anticipated litigation. In the recent case of *Fowler v. City of Lafayette*, the Court of Appeals

found that when litigation has been threatened, it may be discussed in closed session only if a record of the threat is made before the meeting that is available for public inspection pursuant to section 54957.5.

The court found a violation where the City merely identified the threat in the project file, rather than in the agenda packet made available before the meeting. Nor is § 54956.9 to be used as a subterfuge to

discuss non-litigation oriented policy decisions. A previous City Council was warned by the District Attorney's Public Integrity Division not to mis-agendize closed sessions to hide the subject matter. Each

Council member should make sure that the public is sufficiently informed about the subjects of closed session meetings so there is no need to speculate about what those subjects might be. From now on, the

city needs to adhere to *Fowler* in making closed session agendas.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 2:04 PM
To: City Council Public Comment
Subject: General Public Meeting Re: Outsourcing Parks and Recreations

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of South Pasadena. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello my name is Yvette Becerra. I have lived in South Pasadena for the past 13 years. Both of my children have gone through the SPLP and AYSO programs. I have to say that both of these programs have welcomed me and my family with opened arms just as this city has. A highlight of living in this this city has been the amazing memories we have created on our city parks through SPLP and AYSO. I cannot imagine a better way to grow up, where you know that the community is looking out for each other. Out-sourcing parks and recreation services and to have a 3rd party come in to run our parks for profit seems to be out of character for a city that is so community-centric. Our community should continue to come first and a city service, like our parks, should not be up for grabs to the highest bidder. Our children's extra-curricular activities should not be seen as profit, they are there to create memories and help shape future leaders.

Thank you,
Yvette Becerra

*If needed, my comment can be read publicly at the meeting.

Sent from my iPhone

From: WISPPA [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 3:25 PM
To: City Council Public Comment
Subject: Public Comment for the City Council Meeting 6-10-20

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of South Pasadena. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

WISPPA would appreciate the following comment to be read at the City Council meeting tomorrow, June 10 under general comments Thank you. The following comment is longer than 250 words. We are hoping that because it is coming from 5 people, you will consider reading the entire letter.

Dear Mayor and City Council Members,

The WISPPA board would like to share some concerns regarding city council and commission meetings. While we understand that Covid-19 has forced the city to make a number of changes as to how meetings are held, we feel the public is not being heard in the new format, We appreciate the city changing the number of words for comments to 250, although even that is not enough. The deadline for comments is still the day before the meeting which far from ideal. Often in the past, the public would like to make a comment as the meeting progresses. If you must hold to this deadline, we suggest that the comments submitted the day before be addressed in the meeting. The new format prevents any spontaneity and the whole process feels very one way.

The meetings need to be shorter with key, contentious issues at the beginning of the meeting. City council comments should be at the end.

We have some suggestions we hope you might consider. Hold city council and commission meetings on a platform such as zoom while we are social distancing. Hopefully in the near future we will be able to hold meetings live with social distancing taking place by perhaps having a screen set up (in the courtyard or elsewhere) and then allowing just a few people at a time inside the chamber.

The city needs to improve notification of meetings. Commission meetings are not always posted in an obvious place on the city website. Some meetings are listed on the home page, others not. The city calendar has disappeared which is unfortunate because it was very helpful in looking at what meetings were coming up.

We would like to see the public being able to ask questions at commission meetings as things are discussed. Commissioners are the public's representatives and should be able to have discussions with the public.

It is vital that the City and City Council make sure that the public voices are heard regardless of whether is it criticism or praise. Please remember that for each person that complains about an issue, there are many more who agree but are silent.

Thank you,
Betty Emirhanian, Bianca Richards, Ellen Wood, Dollie Chapman, Lisa Roa

p.s. This email is 391words and it took me 2 minutes to read

[REDACTED]

From: SP Youth [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 5:34 PM
To: City Council Public Comment
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: general comment for council
Attachments: general comment for SP council 61020.pdf

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of South Pasadena. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

Here is our letter that is 487 words long. I am CC'ing other members to vouch and contribute their word counts so that we don't have to break it up into chunks again.

We'll also follow up with a 3-minute video version that we would prefer to be aired.

Thanks,

- SPY4PR

Hello Council Members, Mayor, and City Manager,

We are South Pasadena Youth for Police Reform, and we are a group of current and former SPHS students asking for meaningful change in our community. In 2016, this council passed a resolution declaring “it the public policy of the City to be inclusive and to respect the inherent worth of every person.”

If this national moment has taught us anything, it’s how the deeply broken policing system has let down our Black community members and other marginalized populations. We are now asking that our elected officials and public servants fulfill the promise of the creed of this city and put action to words.

We want to begin by saying we don’t want to abolish the police. In fact, we appreciate our department here in South Pasadena and the work they do to serve the community. Our officers are good-hearted people. From fourth of July parades to graduations, they have been there for us. It is the system under which they operate that is failing us, however.

We are asking that the South Pasadena City Council seriously reconsider the current draft budget, including increases to the exorbitant police department budget, until our list of proposals are met. Tonight, we are firmly asking the city to commit to just three fundamental changes in order to show our leaders are willing to represent the will of the people:

- 1) First, we are asking that we update the police department’s policy manual to meet the changes recommended in the 8 Can’t Wait reforms many departments across the country are making. We have already decided to drop the use of neck holds. We are asking that the other seven changes be made, in order to make sure that every possible alternative is exhausted before an officer uses their gun.

2) Second, we are asking that the police department begin to take the call for transparency much more seriously. The last annual report from 2018 was only recently made public a few days ago. We ask that the city manager and police chief respond to our public records request, seeking instances of misconduct, civilian complaints, and the current labor contract including any MOUs. We hope to increase transparency greatly in our department, so that in the future we have data regarding where enforcement efforts are located, how much is actually within the city, and what methods are being used.

3) Third for today, we are asking that our council commit to a charter amendment to create a civilian oversight mechanism within the public safety commission. Currently, the commission has very little actual capabilities and is constrained under the city manager. Already, cities across the country are committing to this change, including Pasadena.

We hope that we can continue the progress already made by our leaders and commit to reforms that stand on the right side of history.

Thank You,

South Pasadena Youth for Police Reform

From: Scott Parker <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 5:35 PM
To: City Council Public Comment
Subject: 6/10/20 City Council Meeting Public Comment

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of South Pasadena. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Name: Scott Parker
Agenda item: general public comment
Please read my comment at the meeting.

My public comment:

Lorne Platt and I started South Pasadena Little League's Challenger program 10 years ago. This division is Little League International's adaptive baseball program for individuals with physical and intellectual challenges. It gives boys and girls ages 4-18 the chance to play baseball and wear the same uniforms and safety equipment as other Little League players.

We started with 1 player our first season but have grown to over 30 registered athletes. We also started playing Challenger teams from other districts, allowing the players to make new friends and play with those with similar challenges.

SPLL does not charge a registration fee for this division, but is still able to provide the players with uniforms, equipment, and a photo packet. Having no fee is very beneficial to these families as many incur high medical bills due to their children's mental and physical challenges. Also, many of these athletes need wheelchairs to get around, so the cost of transportation can be high too.

I understand there is a city proposal to outsource the city's community services department, including parks and recreation. I strongly oppose this proposal unless SPLL is not charged to use the fields at Orange Grove and Arroyo parks. Having to pay for field use would at least necessitate charging the Challenger families a fee they might not be able to afford, and at most could shutter the entire SPLL softball, baseball, and Challenger programs. Please don't let this happen!

Play Ball!

Sincerely,
Scott Parker
SPLL Challenger Division Coordinator

[REDACTED]

From: Riley Collins [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 5:49 PM
To: City Council Public Comment
Subject: General Public Comment

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of South Pasadena. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Riley Collins
General public comment.
Please read comment ALOUD at meeting.

What will the people in charge of South Pasadena do to help answer the voice of its citizens? What accountability measures will be put in place to hold the South Pasadena Police department to the same standard that regular citizens would be held at. I urge you all to have the South Pasadena Police department to release public records of past police officer misconduct. I also urge for the use of drug dogs to be taken out of the South Pasadena schools as they target Black and Brown students unfairly and create an unsafe environment for all students. And finally, freeze the SPPD budget until the changes that the South Pasadena Youth for Police Reform are asking is met.

Thank you,

Riley Collins
SPHS CLASS OF 2018 GRADUATE

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: Tiffany Holmes <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 5:59 PM
To: City Council Public Comment
Subject: Tiffany Holmes - June 10th, 2020

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of South Pasadena. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I would most definitely love to have my question read during the meeting. This comment is general with regards to the South Pasadena Police Officers Association.

As a previous student and resident in South Pasadena, I am wondering the likelihood of reallocating resources from the SPPD to neighboring communities like Pasadena and Highland Park, communities with a much higher black population. It is self-evident that the amount of funding that goes into the police department here is too much and frankly unnecessary. Just last year Pasadena closed 3 schools due to a lack of funding and other resources that could have easily been accessible with help from a department like the SPPD. In addition what efforts are being made from an affluent city like South Pasadena and more specifically the SPPD to help neighboring communities that have larger populations of black people.

[REDACTED]

From: Linda Krausen [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 6:48 PM
To: City Council Public Comment
Subject: 1107 Grevelia Street Park

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of South Pasadena. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I would like to thank Sheila Pautch and those in the City – I think it's the Depot of Public Works, for responding to the neighborhood calls to take care of that property's lawn and very occasional unwanted items that maybe twice a year get deposited there. To report on the use of this park, as the next door neighbor - The Grevelia Street Park is used about 5 – 8 times a day during light hours by various people in the neighborhood - some with children, some with dogs, and some older children by themselves, kicking a soccer ball around. .Every body loves to come to this park !They stay I would say about 15 minutes to ½ an hour. My question is- does this lot actually belong to the city, or to Cal Trans or to both - people do ask me. Linda Krausen [REDACTED]
Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

[REDACTED]

From: BEVERLY BIBER [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 8:20 PM
To: City Council Public Comment
Subject: Building height

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of South Pasadena. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Honorable council

It is my understanding that you are considering a possible increase in the current 45' building height limit. I'm unable to participate in discussion timiryuw evening However I would like to convey my opinion on the possible increased building height in the city, as follows:

South Pasadena successfully fought the city being divided by extension of the 710 freeway but now wants to divide city blocks with towering structures over the current 45' height limit. Instead of a skyline of tree tops we will see a view of sticks and bricks. Shame on the you if you approve monstrosities in our little town much less to build more living units and further choke the community with population density

Thank you

Beverly Biber

[REDACTED]
50+ year resident

Public Comment 6/10/2020 City Council Meeting

AGENDA ITEM NO. 11

Discretionary Fund Requests Mayor Robert Joe (\$2,000), Mayor Pro Tem Diana Mahmud (\$3,000) and Councilmember Marina Khubesrian (\$3,000), for a Combined Total of \$8,000 for the True North Polling Survey Professional Services Agreement

1. Susan Sulsky

[REDACTED]

From: Susan Sulsky [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:09 PM
To: City Council Public Comment
Subject: Comments for City Council Meeting

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of South Pasadena. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Name: Susan Sulsky

Item #11: Discretionary Fund Requests Mayor Robert Joe (\$2,000), Mayor Pro Tem Diana Mahmud (\$3,000) and Councilmember Marina Khubesian (\$3,000), for a Combined Total of \$8,000 for the True North Polling Survey Professional Services Agreement.

Please read aloud

I wish to express my concern that in the middle of a Pandemic and curtailed revenues, that the City Council would expend \$8,000 in discretionary funds and an additional \$24,950 to design, conduct, and analyze a professional poll that could be accomplished by using an online service such as Survey Monkey.

Thank you,

Susan Sulsky
[REDACTED]

Public Comment 6/3/2020 City Council Meeting

AGENDA ITEM NO. 14

Approval of the First Amendment to the Professional Services Agreement with True North Research, Inc., to Design, Conduct, and Analyze a Professional Poll for a Total Not-To-Exceed Amount of \$24,950

1. Addison Foord
2. Jack Donovan

[REDACTED]

From: Foord, Addison A <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 4:10 PM
To: City Council Public Comment
Subject: Public Comment Regarding Item #14

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of South Pasadena. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

Regarding the polling survey (Item #14)

I am a Political Science student at Tulane University in New Orleans and have been a South Pasadena resident my whole life. Public agencies should take the opportunity to assess public opinion when given the chance, especially when leaders are making decisions that can directly impact their community. I fully support approving funds to survey likely voters on the questions that will be on the Nov. 3 ballot. In fact, I believe by not polling, we are setting a dangerous precedent of not listening to the various voices that make up our community.

Addison Foord

(Yes, please read this during public comment)

[REDACTED]

From: jack.donovanmetals.com [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 11:18 PM
To: City Council Public Comment
Subject: Fwd: June 10, 2020 agenda item to fund building height study

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of South Pasadena. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I am requesting the following message be read at the City Council meeting tomorrow, June 10.

Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

From: [REDACTED]
Date: June 8, 2020 at 11:52:27 PM PDT
To: cco@southpasadenaca.gov
Subject: June 10, 2020 agenda item to fund building height study

In 1983 the South Pasadena citizens made it perfectly clear they did not want any more buildings exceeding 45 feet in height. Many of those South Pasadena residents are no longer with us. But, those who have replaced them moved to South Pasadena because they share the same values for the character of the city as their predecessors. They are willing to pay a premium to raise their families in this very special place. They did not move here to watch commercial and residential buildings in excess of 45 feet ruin the unique character of this special oasis.

I urge the city council to vote 5 to 0 not to fund any such study. One might ask who would even bring this item to the council for a vote. Obviously it would be someone who is not part of nor understands the values held by our community.

Jack Donovan
South Pasadena Resident

Sent from my iPad

Public Comment 6/10/2020 City Council Meeting

AGENDA ITEM NO. 16

**Discussion of Regulatory Changes to Allow Al Fresco
Options**

1. Larry Abelson
2. Sam Zniemer

[REDACTED]

From: Abelson, Lawrence [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 10:59 AM
To: City Council Public Comment
Subject: 6/10/20 City Council Meeting - Comment on item no. 16 - to be read

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of South Pasadena. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

1. Please read the following aloud at tomorrow night's City Council meeting:

"Honorable Mayor Joe and Members of the City Council,

I am excited to see the City is considering creative options for supporting our dining establishments who have suffered as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic and am in favor of this proposal conceptually, but it should be implemented responsibly. In particular, I am concerned that the second phase of the proposal involves use of the public right of way, including the parking and travel lanes, however, the only public input sought is through a "Business District Survey." This matter has not been brought to the Mobility and Transportation Infrastructure Commission, and there appears to be no plan to do so. The MTIC can provide alternative ideas and solutions already in use in other cities which are less costly and more effective and aesthetically pleasing than those described in the report, such as staff's recommended concrete barriers costing \$10,000 per month per block.

Let's not move forward blindly but instead use the resources available, including the free knowledge and experience of the MTIC, to develop recommendations which can be more cheaply, easily and expeditiously implemented while ensuring that we do not create traffic safety issues or unintended consequences such as diversion onto local residential streets. As I have previously suggested, the City should also be exploring the swift implementation of measures to slow our streets, like Pasadena, as a complement to business district specific treatments. Our neighborhoods need our help too.

Thank you for your consideration,

Larry Abelson
[REDACTED]

2. Here are my entire comments, for the City Council's review and the record:

Honorable Mayor Joe and Members of the City Council,

I have reviewed the presentation prepared by staff and posted to the City website the same day this meeting was originally scheduled (June 3rd). I am excited to see the City considering creative options for supporting our local dining establishments who have suffered as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic and related restrictions and am in favor of this proposal conceptually, but it should be implemented responsibly. In particular, I am concerned that the second phase of the proposed pilot program involves use of the public right of way, including the parking lane and potential travel lanes, however, the only citizen or public input sought is through a "Business District Survey." While it is unclear who exactly was invited to participate, what is clear is that this matter has not been brought to the City's new Mobility and Transportation Infrastructure Commission, and, according to the staff presentation, there is currently no plan to do so, as the MTIC is not even referenced in the list of "Next Steps" on page 11.

While I see no material impediment to moving expeditiously to implement curbside pick-up and the use of off-street private parking lots for “al fresco dining,” before the City Council considers any plan that includes the possibility of using the public right of way, either a parking lane in front of a business or closing a side street, it should FIRST be submitted to the MTIC for review and recommendations. This proposal directly impacts mobility and use of City streets. Without a doubt, the MTIC could provide additional ideas and solutions which could be less costly and more effective (and aesthetically pleasing) than those briefly described in the report, such as the recommended concrete barriers costing \$10,000 per month for one block. Other methods are available and being used by other cities to support their local restaurants. Let’s not move forward blindly but instead use the resources available, which in this case is the knowledge and experience of the MTIC (which does not cost the City a dime), to develop recommendations which can be more easily, expeditiously, and cheaply implemented while at the same time ensuring that we do not create traffic safety issues or unintended consequences, such as diversion of traffic onto local neighborhood streets. As I previously suggested and was discussed during Commissioner comments at our last MTIC meeting, the City should also be exploring the swift implementation of measures to slow our streets, as the City of Pasadena at least a month ago, as a complement to business district specific treatments. Our neighborhoods need our help too.

Thank you for your consideration,

Larry Abelson


IMPORTANT NOTICE: This message is intended only for the addressee and may contain confidential, privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, you may not use, copy or disclose any information contained in the message. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message.

[REDACTED]

From: Samuel Zneimer [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 5:33 PM
To: City Council Public Comment
Subject: City Council comments item no. 16

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of South Pasadena. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

1. Please read the following aloud at tomorrow night's City Council meeting:

"Honorable Mayor Joe and Members of the City Council,

I am glad to see the City is moving forward with options for supporting our dining establishments who have suffered as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic and I am in favor of this proposal conceptually. I believe the Mobility and Transportation Infrastructure Commission (MTIC) can provide ideas, insight, and alternatives to help produce a great outcome for all users. The MTIC is comprised of transportation experts, long time residents, and a former business/restaurant owner, we can be an asset in this conversation.

I hope we get more businesses to participate in the survey to better understand their needs and we can develop design and policy solutions to the challenges created by the pandemic.

In addition to supporting the businesses, we should continue to develop ideas to support the community by providing safe places to walk, bike, scoot, and skate around the city. There are examples out there of "slow streets", temporary bike lanes, and other active transportation programs to provide options at this time".

Thanks,
Sam Zneimer

[REDACTED]
Samuel Zneimer
[REDACTED]

Public Comment 6/10/2020 City Council Meeting

AGENDA ITEM NO. 17

Presentation of Draft Budget for Review Prior to Adoption on June 17

1. Lisa Munoz
2. Ed Elsner
3. Delaine Shane
4. WISPPA (Betty Emirhanian, Bianca Richards, Ellen Wood, Dollie Chapman, Lisa Roa)
5. Sierra Betinis
6. Emily Rozema (added 6/15/2020)

[REDACTED]

From: Lisa <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, June 4, 2020 5:05 PM
To: City Council Public Comment
Subject: Public comment to be read aloud at 6/10 meeting

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of South Pasadena. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I am requesting these comments to be read aloud, publicly at the 6/10 meeting regarding the budget, Lisa Munoz

Please defund the SPPD and adopt a people's budget: defund the police budget to no more than 4% of the total budget and move the +8 million to other city programs to include but not limited to adding a mental health professional to respond to well checks. SPPD shot and killed an actress on a wellness check. Why hasn't a citizen review board been established in response? Two recent reports in our local paper include SPPD being sent to harass residents who have lawsuits against the city- why? Why were the officers' body cameras turned off? Why is SPPD engaging in nightly high speed pursuits on the 110? Why are SPPD driving SUV's instead of eco conscious vehicles? Why not move the money to a city housing program to address the homeless people begging for money at Rite Aid everyday? Why not fix the bike path at the Arroyo and fund city beautification projects like outdoor dining for small businesses in lieu of SPPD taking up the over 9 million - more than any other department including fire?

[REDACTED]

From: Ed Elsner [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:56 AM
To: City Council Public Comment
Cc: Robert Joe; Diana Mahmud; Michael Cacciotti; Marina Khubesrian; Dr. Richard Schneider - Personal
Subject: Public Comment: 06-10-2020 Regular Meeting (Item no. 17)
Attachments: Beginning Fund Balances from FC Draft.pdf; Beginning Fund Balances from CC Draft.pdf; Select Special Revenue Fund Balances.pdf

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of South Pasadena. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

[I am requesting my public comment to be read at the meeting.]

This comment is to clarify that the draft budget in the agenda packet posted on May 29th is different from the draft presented to the Finance Commission on May 26th.

Among other changes, more than \$4.6 million was added to special funds 104, 215, 233, 236, and 327.

To understand the increase, which was not explained in the agenda report, an updated revenue detail and expenditure summary by fund were requested. The increase, however, cannot be explained by actual revenues and expenditures for the current fiscal year as those are reported in the revenue detail and expenditure summary.

Also, it appeared that in the prior draft budget (and in prior adopted budgets), special fund balances were calculated using budgeted revenues and expenditures, not actual revenues and expenditures. (The attached spreadsheet tracks the balances of the five funds mentioned above as reported in recent financial documents.)

The expenditure summary further indicated that the balance of special fund 500 was calculated using a budgeted expenditure that exceeded this year's adopted budget by more than \$3.6 million.

Inquiries have been made, as well as a request to update the link to the draft budget on the Finance Department's website so as to avoid confusion between the two drafts.

A critique of the City's financial reporting has since been circulated by a former Finance Director. The above observations were made independently of, and prior to, the unsolicited receipt of that report.

Thank you for your consideration of the above.

Ed Elsner



| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J |
|----|---|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--|---|--|---------------------|
| | | | | | | | Beginnig FY 2020/21 Fund Balance (Using Budgeted FY 2019/20 Revenues and Expenditures) | Finance Commission Draft FY 2020/21 Budget (05-26-2020) | City Council Draft FY 2020/21 Budget (05-29-2020) | I - G |
| | | | FY 2016/17 | FY 2017/18 | FY 2018/19 | FY 2019/20 | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | 104 STREET IMPROVEMENTS PROGRAM | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | Beginning Balance | 0.00 | (241,000.00) | (341,000.00) | (341,000.00) | (341,000.00) | (341,000.00) | 711,441.00 | 1,052,441.00 |
| 4 | | Revenue | 1,739,000.00 | 1,450,000.00 | 1,100,000.00 | 965,000.00 | | | | |
| 5 | | Expenditures | 1,980,000.00 | 1,550,000.00 | 1,100,000.00 | 965,000.00 | | | | |
| 6 | | End of Year Balance | (241,000.00) | (341,000.00) | (341,000.00) | (341,000.00) | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | 215 STREET LIGHT & LANDSCAPE | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | | Beginning Balance | 100,618.00 | (43,897.00) | (209,023.00) | (321,306.00) | (346,307.00) | (346,307.00) | 0.00 | 346,307.00 |
| 10 | | Revenue | 941,695.00 | 940,000.00 | 935,000.00 | 1,062,597.00 | | | | |
| 11 | | Expenditures | 1,086,210.00 | 1,105,126.00 | 1,047,283.00 | 1,087,598.00 | | | | |
| 12 | | End of Year Balance | (43,897.00) | (209,023.00) | (321,306.00) | (346,307.00) | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | | 233 MEASURE R | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | | Beginning Balance | 968,277.00 | 392,378.00 | 8,776.00 | 25,269.00 | 35,460.00 | 35,460.00 | 1,006,060.00 | 970,600.00 |
| 16 | | Revenue | 309,345.00 | 303,158.00 | 316,493.00 | 346,191.00 | | | | |
| 17 | | Expenditures | 885,244.00 | 686,760.00 | 300,000.00 | 336,000.00 | | | | |
| 18 | | End of Year Balance | 392,378.00 | 8,776.00 | 25,269.00 | 35,460.00 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | | 236 MEASURE M | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | | Beginning Balance | 0.00 | 0.00 | 25,741.00 | 34,426.00 | 34,442.00 | 34,442.00 | 667,926.00 | 633,484.00 |
| 22 | | Revenue | 0.00 | 325,741.00 | 358,685.00 | 381,016.00 | | | | |
| 23 | | Expenditures | 0.00 | 300,000.00 | 350,000.00 | 381,000.00 | | | | |
| 24 | | End of Year Balance | 0.00 | 25,741.00 | 34,426.00 | 34,442.00 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | | 327 2000 TAX ALLOCATION BONDS | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | | Beginning Balance | 0.00 | 911,333.00 | 16,897.00 | (776,103.00) | (769,103.00) | (769,103.00) | 904,238.00 | 1,673,341.00 |
| 28 | | Revenue | 911,333.00 | 7,000.00 | 7,000.00 | 7,000.00 | | | | |
| 29 | | Expenditures | 0.00 | 901,436.00 | 800,000.00 | 0.00 | | | | |
| 30 | | End of Year Balance | 911,333.00 | 16,897.00 | (776,103.00) | (769,103.00) | | | | |
| 31 | | | | | | | | | TOTAL: | 4,676,173.00 |

As reported in expenditure summary, \$1,062,597 per adopted FY 2019/20 budget.

FY 2020/21 Fund Balance

| Fund | Description | 06/30/20 | Year-End | Year-End | Revenues Minus | 06/30/21 |
|------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| | | Fund Balance | Revenues | Expenditures | Expenses | Year End Fund Balance |
| 101 | General Fund | | | | | |
| | Revenues & Expenditures | | | | | |
| | Operating | | 27,461,349 | 25,480,248 | 1,981,100 | |
| | Capital | | | - | - | |
| | Transfers/Interfund Loans | | 600,000 | 1,820,000 | (1,220,000) | |
| | Reserves | | | | | |
| | Undesignated | 6,673,794 | | | - | 6,833,976 |
| | Arroyo Golf Course / Bike Trail | 600,000 | | | - | 600,000 |
| | Legal Reserve | 500,000 | | | - | 500,000 |
| | Maint. Yard / Comm. Ctr | 317,130 | | | - | 317,130 |
| | Library Expansion | 200,000 | | | - | 200,000 |
| | Renewable Energy Sources Reserve | 700,000 | | | - | 700,000 |
| | Retiree Pension Reserve | 500,000 | | | - | 500,000 |
| | Retiree Medical Reserve | 500,000 | | | - | 500,000 |
| | Community Garden/Open Space Purchases | 392,000 | | | - | 392,000 |
| | Storm Water | 600,000 | | 600,000 | (600,000) | - |
| | Financial Sustainability Reserve | 900,000 | | | - | 900,000 |
| | Slater Reimbursement Reserve | 345,876 | | | - | 345,876 |
| | Vehicle Replacement Reserve | 100,000 | | | - | 100,000 |
| 101 | General Fund Total | \$ 12,328,800 | \$ 28,061,349 | \$ 27,900,248 | \$ 161,100 | \$ 11,888,982 |
| 103 | Insurance Fund | 605,000 | 320,000 | 319,300 | 700 | 605,700 |
| 104 | Street Improvements Program | 711,441 | 500,000 | 500,000 | - | 711,441 |
| 105 | Facilities & Equip. Replacement | 1,693 | 501,000 | 500,000 | 1,000 | 2,693 |
| 201 | MTA Pedestrian Improvement | (29,951) | - | - | - | (29,951) |
| 205 | Prop "A" | 462,664 | 566,185 | 490,908 | 75,277 | 537,941 |
| 207 | Prop "C" | 577,879 | 507,748 | 190,463 | 317,285 | 895,164 |
| 208 | TEA/Metro | 12,517 | 6,412 | - | 6,412 | 18,929 |
| 210 | Sewer | 4,632,411 | 1,865,000 | 1,167,217 | 697,783 | 5,330,194 |
| 211 | CTC Traffic Improvement | 91 | - | - | - | 91 |
| 215 | Street Light & Landscape | - | 889,837 | 1,067,041 | (177,204) | (177,204) |
| 217 | Public, Educ. & Govt. Fund | 178,273 | 19,825 | - | 19,825 | 198,098 |
| 218 | Clean Air Act | 161,522 | 34,939 | 52,600 | (17,661) | 143,861 |
| 220 | Business Improvement Tax | 186,130 | 72,500 | 109,500 | (37,000) | 149,130 |
| 226 | Mission Meridian Public Garage | (429,913) | - | 15,000 | (15,000) | (444,913) |
| 228 | Housing Authority | 84,847 | 22,676 | 10,000 | 12,676 | 97,523 |
| 230 | State Gas Tax | 139,637 | 669,062 | 925,122 | (256,060) | (116,423) |
| 232 | County Park Bond | 421,069 | 2,500 | 63,500 | (61,000) | 360,069 |
| 233 | Measure R | 1,006,060 | 354,175 | 300,000 | 54,175 | 1,060,235 |
| 236 | Measure M | 667,926 | 397,024 | 300,000 | 97,024 | 764,950 |
| 237 | Road Maint. & Rehab. Acct. | (36,256) | 502,685 | - | 502,685 | 466,429 |
| 239 | Measure W | 5,770 | - | 163,576 | (163,576) | (157,806) |
| 245 | Bike & Pedestrian Paths | 18,126 | - | - | - | 18,126 |
| 248 | BTA Grants | (44,207) | - | - | - | (44,207) |
| 249 | Golden Streets Grant | (351,065) | - | - | - | (351,065) |
| 255 | Capital Growth | 431,060 | 55,000 | - | 55,000 | 486,060 |
| 260 | CDBG | 121,246 | 261,565 | 261,565 | - | 121,246 |
| 272 | Police Grants - State (COPS) | 160,599 | 102,500 | 150,000 | (47,500) | 113,099 |
| 274 | Homeland Security Grant | (9,167) | - | - | - | (9,167) |
| 275 | Park Impact Fees | 435,586 | 166,000 | 100,000 | 66,000 | 501,586 |
| 277 | HSIP Grant | (927) | - | - | - | (927) |
| 295 | Arroyo Seco Golf Course | 1,017,872 | 1,355,410 | 1,162,458 | 192,952 | 1,210,824 |
| 310 | Sewer Capital Projects | 154,500 | 428,308 | - | 428,308 | 582,808 |
| 327 | 2000 Tax Allocation Bonds | 904,238 | - | - | - | 904,238 |
| 500 | Water | 1,381,725 | 10,026,000 | 7,683,680 | 2,342,320 | 3,724,045 |
| 503 | Water Efficiency Fund | (239,935) | 200,000 | 311,096 | (111,096) | (351,031) |
| 505 | 2016 Water Revenue Bonds | (2,500) | 2,442,838 | 1,542,838 | 900,000 | 897,500 |
| 510 | Water & Sewer Impact Fees | 270,000 | 253,000 | - | 253,000 | 523,000 |
| 550 | Public Financing Authority | 3,653 | 556,188 | 151,188 | 405,000 | 408,653 |
| 927 | Redev. Obligations Trust Fund | - | 194,500 | 194,500 | - | - |
| | | 25,938,414 | 51,334,974 | 45,631,799 | 5,703,176 | 31,040,672 |
| 227 | Successor Agency to CRA | 262,378 | 194,500 | 194,500 | - | 262,378 |
| | Successor Agency Total | 262,378 | 194,500 | 194,500 | - | 262,378 |
| | TOTAL CITY & CRA | 26,200,792 | 51,529,474 | 45,826,299 | 5,703,176 | 31,303,050 |

FY 2020/21 Fund Balance

| Fund | Description | 06/30/20 Fund Balance | Year-End Revenues | Year-End Expenditures | Revenues Minus Expenses | 06/30/21 Year End Fund Balance |
|------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 101 | General Fund | | | | | |
| | Revenues & Expenditures | | | | | |
| | Operating | | 27,750,141 | 25,665,551 | 2,084,589 | |
| | Capital | | | - | - | |
| | Transfers/Interfund Loans | | - | 1,114,015 | (1,114,015) | |
| | Reserves | | | | | |
| | Undesignated | 6,673,794 | | | | 7,644,368 |
| | Arroyo Golf Course / Bike Trail | 600,000 | | | | 600,000 |
| | Legal Reserve | 500,000 | | | | 500,000 |
| | Maint. Yard / Comm. Ctr | 317,130 | | | | 317,130 |
| | Library Expansion | 200,000 | | | | 200,000 |
| | Renewable Energy Sources Reserve | 700,000 | | | | 700,000 |
| | Retiree Pension Reserve | 500,000 | | | | 500,000 |
| | Retiree Medical Reserve | 500,000 | | | | 500,000 |
| | Community Garden/Open Space Purchases | 392,000 | | | | 392,000 |
| | Storm Water | 600,000 | | 600,000 | (600,000) | |
| | Financial Sustainability Reserve | 900,000 | | | | 900,000 |
| | Slater Reimbursement Reserve | 568,850 | | 222,974 | (222,974) | 345,876 |
| | Vehicle Replacement Reserve | 100,000 | | - | - | 100,000 |
| 101 | General Fund Total | \$ 12,551,774 | \$ 27,750,141 | \$ 27,602,540 | \$ 147,600 | \$ 12,699,374 |
| 103 | Insurance Fund | 605,000 | 300,000 | 95,000 | 205,000 | 810,000 |
| 104 | Street Improvements Program | (341,000) | | | | (341,000) |
| 105 | Facilities & Equip. Replacement | 1,693 | 1,000 | | 1,000 | 2,693 |
| 201 | MTA Pedestrian Improvement | (29,951) | | | | (29,951) |
| 205 | Prop "A" | 462,664 | 566,185 | 372,966 | 193,219 | 655,883 |
| 207 | Prop "C" | 577,879 | 507,748 | 190,463 | 317,285 | 895,164 |
| 208 | TEA/Metro | 12,517 | 6,412 | | 6,412 | 18,929 |
| 210 | Sewer | 4,632,411 | 1,865,000 | 724,359 | 1,140,641 | 5,773,052 |
| 211 | CTC Traffic Improvement | 91 | | | | 91 |
| 215 | Street Light & Landscape | (346,307) | 889,837 | 1,039,541 | (149,704) | (496,011) |
| 217 | Public, Educ. & Gov't. Fund | 178,273 | 19,825 | | 19,825 | 198,098 |
| 218 | Clean Air Act | 161,522 | 34,939 | 15,000 | 19,939 | 181,461 |
| 220 | Business Improvement Tax | 186,130 | 72,500 | 109,000 | (36,500) | 149,630 |
| 226 | Mission Meridian Public Garage | (429,913) | | 15,000 | (15,000) | (444,913) |
| 228 | Housing Authority | 84,847 | 22,676 | | 22,676 | 107,523 |
| 230 | State Gas Tax | 139,637 | 669,062 | 635,122 | 33,940 | 173,577 |
| 232 | County Park Bond | 421,069 | 2,500 | 63,500 | (61,000) | 360,069 |
| 233 | Measure R | 35,460 | 354,175 | | 354,175 | 389,635 |
| 236 | Measure M | 34,442 | 397,024 | | 397,024 | 431,466 |
| 237 | Road Maint. & Rehab. Acct. | (36,256) | 502,685 | | 502,685 | 466,429 |
| 239 | Measure W | 5,770 | | 153,576 | (153,576) | (147,806) |
| 240 | Measure M MSP | | | | | |
| 245 | Bike & Pedestrian Paths | 18,126 | | | | 18,126 |
| 248 | BTA Grants | (44,207) | | | | (44,207) |
| 249 | Golden Streets Grant | (351,065) | | | | (351,065) |
| 255 | Capital Growth | 431,060 | 55,000 | | 55,000 | 486,060 |
| 260 | CDBG | 121,246 | 261,565 | 41,315 | 220,250 | 341,496 |
| 272 | Police Grants - State (COPS) | 160,599 | 102,500 | | 102,500 | 263,099 |
| 274 | Homeland Security Grant | (9,167) | | | | (9,167) |
| 275 | Park Impact Fees | 435,586 | 166,000 | 100,000 | 66,000 | 501,586 |
| 277 | HSIP Grant | (927) | | | | (927) |
| 295 | Arroyo Seco Golf Course | 1,017,872 | 1,355,410 | 1,139,824 | 215,586 | 1,233,458 |
| 310 | Sewer Capital Projects | 154,500 | 2,500 | | 2,500 | 157,000 |
| 327 | 2000 Tax Allocation Bonds | (769,103) | | | | (769,103) |
| 500 | Water | 1,381,725 | 9,526,000 | 5,257,836 | 4,268,164 | 5,649,889 |
| 503 | Water Efficiency Fund | (239,935) | 200,000 | 276,096 | (76,096) | (316,031) |
| 505 | 2016 Water Revenue Bonds | (2,500) | | | | (2,500) |
| 510 | Water & Sewer Impact Fees | 270,000 | 253,000 | | 253,000 | 523,000 |
| 550 | Public Financing Authority | 3,653 | | | | 3,653 |
| 927 | Redev. Obligations Trust Fund | | 194,500 | 194,500 | | |
| | | 21,485,215 | 46,078,933 | 38,025,639 | 8,053,295 | 29,538,509 |
| 227 | Successor Agency to CRA | 262,378 | 194,500 | 194,500 | - | 262,378 |
| | Successor Agency Total | 262,378 | 194,500 | 194,500 | - | 262,378 |
| | TOTAL CITY & CRA | 21,747,593 | 46,273,433 | 38,220,139 | 8,053,295 | 29,800,887 |

[REDACTED]

From: D. Shane [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:18 PM
To: City Council Public Comment; Maria Ayala
Cc: ezneimer
Subject: Public Comments: June 10th Open Session City Council Meeting: Agenda Item 17 (Draft Budget Presentation)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of South Pasadena. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello Maria:

Please read the message below out loud to the City Council during Agenda Item No. 17 (Draft Budget Presentation), Public Comments.

Thank you,

Delaine

Dear City Council:

Please expand the review of the proposed budget to include all of the City's volunteer commissions, including the Youth Commission, who may be involved in the Black Lives Matter movement. The high school Youth Commissioners can consult with their classmates that belong to the civil rights clubs at the high school, including the Black Student Union, the Latinx Student Union, the Social Justice Club, and We the People. As this is being read, 18-year old London Lang is leading a peaceful protest in front of the City Hall. The protest is asking that you "... freeze SPPD's budget until ... [certain] changes are made toward creating a racially equitable, community driven police department." The residents and businesses of South Pasadena are very fortunate to have their own Police Department. The South Pasadena officers that I have come in contact with over the years have been kind, respectful, and helpful to me. However, there is always room for improvement for all of us. The kids want a better future for all. Let's agree on that and take a deep dive into the Police Department budget, in a respectful and meaningful way. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Delaine Shane
[REDACTED]

From: WISPPA [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 3:19 PM
To: City Council Public Comment
Subject: Public Comment City Council Meeting 6-10-20

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of South Pasadena. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

WISPPA would appreciate the following comment to be read at the City Council meeting tomorrow, June 10 for item #17. Thank you.

Dear Mayor and City Council,

The WISPPA board would like the city council to address the budget issues raised by Bill Kelly, Josh Betta and a number of other South Pasadena residents who brought these same concerns to the WISPPA board's attention. Given all the issues swirling around the budget, we think that the city should hold a meeting as soon as possible similar to the Housing Elements meeting where the public can ask questions.

We realize that the budget is a moving target right now and it is our understanding that legally it must pass by June 30. Can the city pass it provisionally and allow the public more input for an updated budget in a month or two? This is especially important because of the issues raised, the budget surveys were inadequate and notifications to the public were confusing.

Thank you for your consideration.

WISPPA Board (Betty Emirhanian, Bianca Richards, Ellen Wood, Dollie Chapman and Lisa Roa)

From: Sierra Betinis <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 5:52 PM
To: City Council Public Comment
Subject: June 10, 2020 Public Comment for City Council Meeting

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of South Pasadena. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I am submitting a public comment for city council meeting. Below is the requested information for public comment based on criteria set here: <https://www.southpasadenaca.gov/government/city-council-meetings/2019-council-meetings-copy>. Item 2. is 250 words or less.

1. Sierra Betinis
2. For Item 17.Presentation of Draft Budget for Review Prior to Adoption on June 17 - The SPPD's proposed budget for FY20-21 is 4.6% higher than the current year, despite a \$3.5-4M revenue shortfall. We have a full time officer that works as an advocate with our local homeless population o get them food, medical services and even housing. And the School Resource Officer who develops a relationship with troubled kids to be sure they get the help they need or to be sure they are no a danger to anyone else. For FY20-21, transfer \$1 million from PD to hire mental health and/or social work professionals to begin investing in a community-led public safety programs. Doing so will also save funds since mental health and social workers are paid less than what police are paid. Since violent crime has steadily been decreasing across LA County since 2014, except in the area of violent crime against women by intimate partners (which has risen by ~25% in the same timeframe), and is substantiated by SPPD's report of a 19% reduction in crime, with no substantial protests in South Pasadena during recent weeks, we can afford to invest in alternative models of community-led public safety. Begin so, by cutting \$1 million from PD to hire appropriate mental health professionals and social workers to handle these areas of public safety previously done by our armed law enforcement.
3. Please read my comment out-loud.

6/10/20
General Comment
17

From: Emily Rozema [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, June 10, 2020 12:40 PM
To: City Manager's Office; CCO; City Council Public Comment
Subject: Police reform in South Pasadena

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of South Pasadena. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

My name is Emily Rozema and I'm a proud South Pasadena resident. I know I am submitting this late for public comment but I trust that my words will be read and considered.

As you meet this evening, I urge you to consider implementation of the changes that have been proposed to you by the South Pasadena Youth for Police Reform. The things that need to happen immediately are:

- Implementation of the 8 can't wait measures
- Increase education requirements for police force, including education on systemic racism and South Pasadena's history of segregation
- Increase presence of social workers and mental health professionals embedded into the police force who are better equipped to deal with mental illness-related issues as such, rather than treating them as crime-related incidents.
- Transparency of instances of police misconduct and civilian complaints, including re-investigation of Vanessa Marquez's death.
- Abolishing 10pm curfew for youth. This is a clear excuse to target black and hispanic youth and is contributing to the toxic built-in racism in our community

I look forward to seeing these changes and continuing to engage on this issue.

I love this community and I know it will make me proud.

Sincerely,

Emily Rozema

--
Emily Rozema, MPH
[REDACTED]